Award FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between:	
<u>Claimant</u>	Case Number:
VS.	
Respondent UBS Financial Services Inc.	Hearing Site: Los Angeles, California
Nature of the Dispute: Associated Person vs. N	Member

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES

For Claimant ("Claimant"): Dochtor Kennedy, MBA, J.D., and Owen Harnett, Esq., AdvisorLaw, LLC, Broomfield, Colorado.

For Respondent UBS Financial Services Inc. ("Respondent"): Cheryl S. Chang, Esq., Keesal, Young & Logan, Long Beach, California.

CASE INFORMATION

Statement of Claim filed on or about: February 20, 2018. Claimant signed the Submission Agreement: February 20, 2018.

Statement of Answer filed by Respondent on or about: April 17, 2018. Respondent signed the Submission Agreement: March 1, 2018.

CASE SUMMARY

Claimant asserted a claim seeking expungement of a customer complaint, occurrence number ("Underlying Complaint") from his Central Registration Depository ("CRD") record.

In the Statement of Answer, Respondent advised that while it does not oppose Claimant's request for expungement, it objects to Claimant's request for compensatory damages.

RELIEF REQUESTED

In the Statement of Claim, Claimant requested:

1. Expungement of the Underlying Complaint from his CRD record pursuant to FINRA Rule 2080(b)(1)(A) as the claim, allegation, or information is factually

impossible or clearly erroneous;

- 2. Expungement of the Underlying Complaint from his CRD record pursuant to FINRA Rule 2080(b)(1)(C) as the claim, allegation, or information is false;
- 3. Damages in the amount of \$1.00 from Respondent; and
- 4. Any and all other relief that the Arbitrator deems just and equitable.

In the Statement of Answer, Respondent requested denial of Claimant's request for an award of damages.

At the hearing, Claimant withdrew his request for damages in the amount of \$1.00 from Respondent.

OTHER ISSUES CONSIDERED AND DECIDED

The Arbitrator acknowledges that he has read the pleadings and other materials filed by the parties.

On August 1, 2018, Claimant submitted an Affidavit of Service signed by Claimant's counsel advising that upon review of the public information available on the Lexis Nexis database, Claimant was unable to serve the Statement of Claim on the customers in the Underlying Complaint ("Customers") as they are deceased.

The Arbitrator conducted a recorded telephonic hearing on October 1, 2018 so the parties could present oral argument and evidence on Claimant's request for expungement. Respondent did not participate in the expungement hearing and, as stated in the Statement of Answer, did not oppose the expungement request. No one for the Customers appeared at the hearing.

The Arbitrator reviewed Claimant's BrokerCheck® Report.

The Arbitrator noted that the Underlying Complaint was not settled and therefore there were no settlement documents to review and no money was paid by Claimant or Respondent in connection with the Underlying Complaint. Further, the Arbitrator noted that, other than the complaint letter from the Customers, no FINRA arbitration claim was filed.

The Arbitrator noted that Claimant did not previously file a claim requesting expungement of the same disclosure in the CRD.

In recommending expungement, the Arbitrator relied upon the following documentary or other evidence: the pleadings; Claimant's BrokerCheck® Report; and exhibits 02-08 - Exhibit 02 (Claimant's statement dated 05-25-01); Exhibit 03 (Letter from Mr. S, Counsel and Divisional Vice President with Respondent, to the Customers dated 07-03-01); Exhibit 04 (the Customers' authorization letter to Respondent dated 05-07-01); Exhibit 05 (the Customers' authorization letter to Claimant dated 07-05-00); Exhibit 06 (the Customers' authorization letter to Claimant dated 08-31-00); Exhibit 07 (Respondent's transaction confirmation sheet dated 12-14-00), and Exhibit 08 (the Customers' account information sheet dated 05-25-01).

The parties present at the hearing have agreed that a handwritten, signed Award may be entered.

AWARD

After considering the pleadings, the testimony and evidence presented at the hearing, the Arbitrator has decided in full and final resolution of the issues submitted for determination as follows:

1.	The Arbitrator recommends the expungement of all references to the Underlying				
	Complaint, occurrence number	from regist	ration records maintained by the		
	CRD, for Claimant	(CRD#), with the understanding		
	that, pursuant to Notice to Members 0	4-16, Claiman	t must		
	obtain confirmation from a court of competent jurisdiction before the CRD will				
	execute the expungement directive.				

Unless specifically waived in writing by FINRA, parties seeking judicial confirmation of an arbitration award containing expungement relief must name FINRA as an additional party and serve FINRA with all appropriate documents.

Pursuant to Rule 13805 of the Code of Arbitration Procedure ("Code"), the Arbitrator has made the following Rule 2080 affirmative findings of fact:

The claim, allegation, or information is factually impossible or clearly erroneous.

The claim, allegation, or information is false.

The Arbitrator has made the above Rule 2080 findings based on the following reasons:

The Underlying Complaint in this matter consists of a complaint letter from the Customers alleging that a trade made six months earlier, and which had declined in value due to market forces, was unauthorized.

The evidence, including Claimant's sworn testimony and the supporting Exhibits 02-08 (identified above) independently confirm that the transaction in question was an informed decision by the Customers. The exhibits confirmed in writing and corroborated Claimant's sworn testimony.

It is clear that the Customers made an informed decision to execute the trade thus the allegation is factually impossible and erroneous (FINRA Rule 2080(b)(1)(A)) and is false (FINRA Rule 2080(b)(1)(C)).

2. Any and all claims for relief not specifically addressed herein are denied.

FEES

Pursuant to the Code, the following fees are assessed:

Filing Fees

FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution assessed a filing fee* for each claim:

Initial Claim Filing Fee

=\$ 50.00

Member Fees

Member fees are assessed to each member firm that is a party in these proceedings or to the member firm(s) that employed the associated person(s) at the time of the event(s) giving rise to the dispute. Accordingly, as a party, Respondent is assessed the following:

Member Surcharge

=\$ 150.00

Postponement Fees

Postponements granted during these proceedings for which fees were assessed or waived:

September 5, 2018, postponement by Claimant

=waived

Hearing Session Fees and Assessments

The Arbitrator has assessed hearing session fees for each session conducted. A session is any meeting between the parties and the arbitrator, including a pre-hearing conference with the arbitrator that lasts four (4) hours or less. Fees associated with these proceedings are:

One (1) pre-hearing sessi	on with a single a	rbitrator @ \$50.00/session	=\$50.00
Pre-hearing conference:	June 12, 2018	1 session	

One (1) hearing session (on expungement reque	est @ \$50.00/session	=\$50.00
Hearing Date:	October 1, 2018	1 session	

Total Hearing Session Fees =\$100.00

The Arbitrator has assessed \$100.00 of the hearing session fees to Claimant.

All balances are payable to FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution and are due upon receipt.

^{*}The filing fee is made up of a non-refundable and a refundable portion.

ARBITRATOR

Robert D. Sussin

Sole Public Arbitrator

I, the undersigned Arbitrator, do hereby affirm that I am the individual described herein and who executed this instrument which is my award.

Arbitrator's Signature

Robert D. Sussin Sole Public Arbitrator Signature Date

October 09, 2018

Date of Service (For FINRA Office of Dispute Resolution office use only)